

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,721	11/24/2003		Stefan Thesen	P03,0473	5388
26574 SCHIEF HAR		01/24/2008		EXAM	INER
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT				KIM, CHONG R	
6600 SEARS ' CHICAGO, II				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
00,			•	2624	
	•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/720,721	THESEN, STEFAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles Kim	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONE, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 

10/720,721 Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Requirement for Information under 37 CFR 1.105

1. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

In response to this requirement, please provide copies of each publication which any of the applicants authored or co-authored and which describe the disclosed subject matter of realtime fMRI image analysis.

In addition, please provide the title, citation and copy of each publication that any of the applicants relied upon to develop the disclosed subject matter that describes the applicant's invention, particularly as to developing the concept of updating the intermediate results from a directly preceding volume data set with new calculations. For each publication, please provide a concise explanation of the reliance placed on that publication in the development of the disclosed subject matter.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because the phrase "sequence of the of the data sets" in line 10 is grammatically incorrect. It appears Applicant intended the phrase to read "sequence of the data sets." Appropriate correction is required.

10/720,721 Art Unit: 2624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter "Admission") and the article entitled "Real-Time Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging" by Cohen (hereinafter "Cohen").

Referring to claim 1, Admission discloses all the claimed features except for the steps of storing the intermediate results and updating the intermediate results from a directly preceding volume data set with new calculations [Specification, Background section, page 2, last paragraph to page 4]. This feature lacking in Admission is taught by Cohen, who discloses a real-time fMRI image analysis process that includes determining intermediate results (t-statistic or correlation coefficient) for volume data sets, storing the intermediate results, and subsequently updating the intermediate results from a directly preceding volume data set with new calculations [pages 210-11, section titled "Statistical Image Processing". Cohen explains that the value of r is updated based on the stored values as each new image arrives].

Admission and Cohen are combinable because they are both concerned with fMRI image analysis techniques. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Admission so that the intermediate results are stored and updated from a directly preceding volume data set with new calculations, as taught by Cohen.

The reason for doing so would have been to provide the capability of real-time fMRI image

Art Unit: 2624

analysis. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Admission with Cohen to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Referring to claim 6, Cohen further discloses loading only one data set into a working storage of a data processing system, and after the calculations, discarding the loaded data set [pages 210-211, section titled "Statistical Image Processing"].

Referring to claim 7, Cohen further discloses cycling the data sets in parallel with the measurements [pages 208-217].

Referring to claim 8, Cohen further discloses interrupting the cycle of the data sets after a predeterminable number of measurements, and presenting a current result of the measurements, and after the interruption, continuing the cycle [pages 208-217].

Referring to claim 9, Admission further discloses acquiring the data sets from a subject volume by functional imaging, with the data sets originating from temporally successive measurements representing volume data sets, and with the time curve for an independent random sample comprised in the data set corresponding to the temporal signal curve of a volume element acquired in the subject volume [pars. 7-9].

Referring to claim 10, see the rejection of at least claim 1 above. Cohen further discloses a data acquisition and processing arrangement for performing the method described in claim 1 [pages 210-214 and figure 11].

Referring to claims 11-12, Cohen further discloses that the data acquisition device is a functional magnetic resonance system [pages 210-214 and figure 11].

10/720,721

Art Unit: 2624

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter "Admission") and the article entitled "Real-Time Multiple Linear Regression for fMRI Supported by Time-Aware Acquisition and Processing" by Smyser et al. (hereinafter "Smyser").

Referring to claim 1, Admission discloses all the claimed features except for the steps of storing the intermediate results and updating the intermediate results from a directly preceding volume data set with new calculations [Specification, Background section, page 2, last paragraph to page 4]. This feature lacking in Admission is taught by Smyser, who discloses determining intermediate results (t-statistic, regression coefficient, sums of squares, standard errors of regression coefficients) for volume data sets, storing the intermediate results, and subsequently updating the intermediate results from a directly preceding volume data set with new calculations [page 290-295. Note that for each volume data set, intermediate results (regression outputs) are determined and stored. Because intermediate results are determined for each subsequent volume data set, the intermediate results from a directly preceding volume data set are updated with new calculations for a subsequent volume data set.].

Admission and Smyser are combinable because they are both concerned with fMRI image analysis techniques using general linear models (GLM). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Admission so that the intermediate results are stored and updated from a directly preceding volume data set with new calculations, as taught by Smyser. The reason for doing so would have been to allow substantial parametric analysis to be performed and displayed in real time [Smyser, page 298]. Therefore, it

would have been obvious to combine Admission with Smyser to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-7421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Thurs 8:30am to 6pm and alternating Fri 9:30am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Page 7

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Kim

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2624

chongr.kim@uspto.gov

January 17, 2008